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## Description

via David Fichtmüller from ABCD Wiki (
http://abcd.biowikifarm.net/wiki/Internal:ABCD_Corrections\#Use_only the_DateTimelSO type )
(Improvement not backward compatible Status: Suggested)
For all the date related elements which have a simple type (this excludes Date and DateTime) the DateTimeISO type should be used. The only exception would be DateText, as this has to be a String. This would allow for more flexibility, like adding times when useful and not being either forced to by xs:dateTime or restrained from by xs:date. Also both xs:date and xs:dateTime allow for more than 4 digit years. This makes a date like 20012-08-23 valid. In my opinion the disadvantages of potential errors like this outweighs the advantage of being able to point out a specific date 999.997 .987 years into the future (yes, only with a 10 or more digit year the validator starts to complain).

Effected Elements:
xs:dateTime

DateLastEdited

MeasurementDateTime
DateCreated

DateModified
xs:date

VerificationDate
CreatedDate

LoanDate
LoanReturnDate

Datelssued

String
AcquisitionDate

AccessionDate
AnnotationDate
PlantingDate

## History

\#1-01/24/2017 04:30 PM - D. Fichtmüller

- Project changed from ABCD 3.0 Project to ABCD
- Subject changed from Unit Extension : Use only the DateTimeISO type to Use only the DateTimeISO type
- Category set to XML Schema
- Parent task set to \#476

